

Philosophy 203
History of Modern Western Philosophy

Russell Marcus
Hamilton College
Spring 2011

Class 2 - Meditation One

Five Dogmas undermined by the new science

D1. The heavens are constant.

D2. The Earth is at the center of the universe.

D3. Causes are (partially) explained teleologically, by purposes.


D4. The heavens contain starry perfect spheres (stars and planets) which revolve in perfect circles around the Earth.

D5. There are two kinds of motion: linear and circular.



Scriptural circularity


I have always thought that two issues - namely, God and the soul, are chief among those that ought to be demonstrated with the aid of philosophy rather than theology. For although it suffices for us believes to believe by faith that the human soul does not die with the body, and that God exists, certainly no unbelievers seem capable of being persuaded of any religion or even of almost any moral virtue, until these two are first proven to them by natural reason... Granted, it is altogether true that we must believe in God's existence because it is taught in the Holy Scriptures, and, conversely, that we must believe the Holy Scriptures because they have come from God. This is because, of course, since faith is a gift from God, the very same one who gives the grace that is necessary for believing the rest can also give the grace to believe that he exists. Nonetheless, this reasoning cannot be proposed to unbelievers because they would judge it to be circular (AW 35).



Descartes's Method

To raze everything to the ground and begin again from the original foundation.

- Principles for doubting will call swaths of beliefs into question.
- The method of doubt will lead to certain knowledge.



Descartes's Rules

From *Discourse on Method*

R1. Never to accept anything as true that I did not plainly know to be such;

R2. Divide each difficulty into as many parts as possible;

R3. Conduct my thoughts in an orderly fashion, commencing with the simplest and ascending to the most composite; and

R4. Everywhere to make complete enumerations (AW 31).



What is Knowledge?

- Knowledge-How vs Knowledge-That
 - Riding a bicycle
 - That the square root of 25 is 5.
 - Focus on knowledge-that
- Knowledge-that appears to be related to belief.
- Both belief and knowledge are relations between people and propositions.

Knowledge and Belief



“I *know* that the sun revolves around the earth.”




“I *believe* that the sun revolves around the earth.”

Knowledge and Belief



“I *know* that the sun revolves around the earth.”




“I *believe* that the sun revolves around the earth.”

Knowledge and Belief



“I *know* that the sun revolves around the earth.”

I now see that I did not know.



“I *believe* that the sun revolves around the earth.”

I really did believe it.



Knowledge is a Success Term

- If you know that p, then p is true.
- We can have false beliefs.
- We can not have false knowledge.

Knowledge as True Belief

- Consider the belief that there are exactly 6,592,749,256,111 grains of sand on the beaches of the Earth.
- Let's imagine that there are, in fact, exactly 6,592,749,256,111 grains of sand on the beaches of the Earth
- Still, no one could be truly said to know this fact.
- We need some account, some justification of how we know.

Knowledge as Justified True Belief

- Plato's *Theaetetus*
- Gettier counter-examples: rare cases

The KK thesis

The first [rule] was never to accept anything as true that I did not plainly know to be such; that is to say carefully avoid hasty judgment and prejudice; and to include nothing more in my judgments than what presented itself to my mind so clearly and so distinctly that I had no occasion to call it in doubt (AW31).

- Descartes is claiming that if I know p, I can not doubt it.
- KK thesis: In order to know p, you must know that you know p.
- Name the capital of Illinois.
- Note, but put aside for now, worries about the KK thesis.

Three Doubts

Illusion, Dream, The Demon Deceiver



- Descartes is seeking firm and lasting knowledge in the sciences by way of doubt.
- Rotten apples
- Distinguish doubt from denial
 - 'I doubt that p' means that I do not know whether p is true or false.
 - 'I deny that p' is an assertion of the falsity of p.
 - At the end of the first Meditation, Descartes asserts that he will deny all of the claims he formerly believed.
 - Why?
- If the three doubts are successful, they will make us doubt, but not deny, everything on the list.

Illusion



- Among the most difficult beliefs to abandon are those which we grasp with our senses.
- Everything he has taken as most true has come either from the senses or through the senses.
- Some knowledge comes directly from experience, like knowing that it is hot outside.
- Other knowledge requires reasoning in addition to sense experience.
 - knowledge of mathematics
 - 'Bachelors are unmarried'
 - *a priori*
- Does all knowledge come from experience?
- Illusions undermine our sensory beliefs.
 - knowledge of close objects, like our own bodies, resists doubts about illusions.
 - we approach the mirage

Dreams

We can dream of things that do not exist,
or that things have different properties than they do.

The dream argument elicits three distinct questions:

- A. Is there any way of distinguishing waking from dreaming experience?
- B. What beliefs does the possibility of our dreaming eliminate?
- C. Is there anything of which we can be sure, even if we are dreaming?