Philosophy 109, Modern Logic, Queens College
Russell Marcus, Instructor
email: philosophy@thatmarcusfamily.org
website: http://philosophy.thatmarcusfamily.org
Office phone: (718) 997-5287

Rules of Replacement I, §7.3

I. **The First Five Rules of Replacement**
Rules of Inference allow you to derive new conclusions based on previously accepted premises or derivations.
They must be used on whole lines only.
They go only one-way.

Rules of Replacement allow you to substitute one proposition or part of a proposition with a logically equivalent expression.
They may be used on parts of lines, or on whole lines.
They may be used either way.

To check the legitimacy of the substitutions, we must use truth tables to show that the expressions are in fact logically equivalent. See the appendix at the end of the lesson.

DeMorgan's Laws (DM)
~(P · Q) :: ~P ∨ ~Q
~(P ∨ Q) :: ~P · ~P
Note the use of '::' to mean 'is logically equivalent to'.
Also note that there are two versions: one for the negation of a conjunction, and the other for the negation of a disjunction.
Like all Rules of Replacement, you can go either way.
Forward is like distribution in algebra.
Backward is like factoring out the negation.

Sample Derivations
A Forward use:
1. (A ∨ B) ⊃ E
2. ~E
3. A ∨ D   / D
4. ~(A ∨ B)   1, 2, MT
5. ~A · ~B   4, DM
6. ~A   5, Simp
7. D   3, 6, DS
QED

A Backward use:
1. G ⊃ (H · F)
2. ~H ∨ ~F   / ~G
3. ~(H · F)   2, DM
4. ~G   1, 3, MT
QED

Note that both the forward and the backward uses require the same justification.

Associativity (Assoc)
P ∨ (Q ∨ R) :: (P ∨ Q) ∨ R
P · (Q · R) :: (P · Q) · R
Often used with (DS).
Again, there is a conjunction version, and there is a disjunction version.
Note that the two connectives must be the same.

Sample Derivation:
1. (L ∨ M) ∨ N
2. ~L
3. (M ∨ N) ⊃ O / O
4. L ∨ (M ∨ N) 1, Assoc
5. M ∨ N 4, 2, DS
6. O 3, 5, MP
QED

Distributivity (Dist)
P · (Q ∨ R) :: (P · Q) ∨ (P · R)
P ∨ (Q · R) :: (P ∨ Q) · (P ∨ R)
Again, there are two versions: distributing the conjunction over the disjunction and distributing the disjunction over the conjunction.
Note that the order of the connectives remains the same, with an extra of the first connective added at the end (or taken away).
so ·∨ becomes ·∨·
and ∨· becomes ∨·∨
(or vice versa)
Using it on 'P ∨ (Q · R)' yields a conjunction, from which you can simplify!
(Assoc) is used when you have two of the same, (Dist) is used when you have a combination.

Sample Derivation (forward):
1. H · (I ∨ J)
2. ~(H · I) / H · J
3. (H · I) ∨ (H · J) 1, Dist
4. H · J 3, 2, DS
QED

Sample Derivation (backward):
1. (P ∨ Q) · (P ∨ R)
2. ~P / Q · R
3. P ∨ (Q · R) 1, Dist
4. Q · R 3, 2, DS
QED

Commutativity (Com)
P ∨ Q :: Q ∨ P
P · Q :: Q · P
You may combine a use of this rule with other rules on the same line.
In effect, it doubles rules like (DS), (Simp), and (Add).

Now we can derive 'P' from 'P ∨ Q' and '~Q':
1. P ∨ Q
2. ~Q
3. Q ∨ P 1, Com
4. P 3, 4, DS (Lines 3 and 4 may be combined.)

Also, we can derive 'Q' from 'P · Q'
1. P · Q
2. Q · P 1, Com
3. Q 2, Simp (Lines 2 and 3 may be combined.)

Also, we can derive ‘Q ∨ P’ from ‘P’

1. P	
2. P ∨ Q	1, Add
3. Q ∨ P	2, Com

Each of these three above derivations can be inserted into any derivation by substituting appropriately.

Sample Derivation:

1. A · B		
2. B ⊃ (D ∨ E)		
3. ~E	/ D	
4. B		1, Com, Simp
5. D ∨ E		2, 4, MP
6. D		5, 3, Com, DS

QED

Double Negation (DN)

P :: ~~P

There are three ways to double-negate a statement with a binary connective. E.g. consider ‘P ∨ Q’. It can be turned into:

1. ~~P ∨ Q	by double negating the ‘P’
2. P ∨ ~~Q	by double negating the ‘Q’
3. ~~(P ∨ Q)	by double negating the ‘∨’

Sample Derivation:

1. ~F ⊃ ~G	
2. G	
3. F ⊃ H	/ H
4. ~~F	1, 2, DN, MT
5. H	3, 4, DN, MP

QED

II. **The Difference Between Rules of Inference and Rules of Replacement**

Rules of replacement apply to any part of a proof, not just whole lines.
This does not apply to Rules of Inference.
The following inference is not allowed:

P ⊃ (Q ⊃ R)
Q
/ P ⊃ R

Using (DM) on part of a line:

P ⊃ ~(Q ∨ P)
can be transformed to:
P ⊃ (~Q · ~P)

Using (DN) on part of a line:

~P · Q	can be transformed to:
~P · ~~Q	which can then be transformed, e.g., to:
~(P ∨ ~Q)	using (DM)

A similar equivalence can be shown by switching conjunction and disjunction in the above.

III. **Exercises**. Derive the conclusions of each of the following arguments using the rules of inference and the first five rules of replacement.

1)
1. A ∨ B
2. ~D ∨ E
3. ~(A ∨ E) / B · ~D

2)
1. P ∨ (Q · R)
2. ~Q / P

3)
1. ~(S ∨ T)
2. U ⊃ T
3. W ⊃ U / ~W

4)
1. ~(F · G)
2. ~(F · H)
3. G ∨ H / ~F

5)
1. A ∨ (B · ~F)
2. (A ⊃ ~D) · (~F ⊃ ~E) / ~(D · E)

6)
1. (H ∨ I) ⊃ (J · K)
2. ~J / ~I

Solutions may vary.

IV. **Appendix: Proofs of the Logical Equivalence of the First Three Rules of Replacement**

DeMorgan's Rules: ~(P ∨ Q) :: ~P · ~Q

~	(P	∨	Q)
F	T	T	T
F	T	T	F
F	F	T	T
T	F	F	F

~	P	·	~	Q
F	T	**F**	F	T
F	T	**F**	T	F
T	F	**F**	F	T
T	F	**T**	T	F

DeMorgan's Rules: ~(P · Q) :: ~P ∨ ~Q

~	(P	·	Q)
F	T	T	T
T	T	F	F
T	F	F	T
T	F	F	F

~	P	∨	~	Q
F	T	**F**	F	T
F	T	**T**	T	F
T	F	**T**	F	T
T	F	**T**	T	F

Associativity: P ∨ (Q ∨ R) :: (P ∨ Q) ∨ R

P	∨	(Q	∨	R)
T	**T**	T	T	T
T	**T**	T	T	F
T	**T**	F	T	T
T	**T**	F	F	F
F	**T**	T	T	T
F	**T**	T	T	F
F	**T**	F	T	T
F	**F**	F	F	F

(P	∨	Q)	∨	R
T	T	T	**T**	T
T	T	T	**T**	F
T	T	F	**T**	T
T	T	F	**T**	F
F	T	T	**T**	T
F	T	T	**T**	F
F	F	F	**T**	T
F	F	F	**F**	F

Associativity: P · (Q · R) :: (P · Q) · R

P	·	(Q	·	R)
T	**T**	T	T	T
T	**F**	T	F	F
T	**F**	F	F	T
T	**F**	F	F	F
F	**F**	T	T	T
F	**F**	T	F	F
F	**F**	F	F	T
F	**F**	F	F	F

(P	·	Q)	·	R
T	T	T	**T**	T
T	T	T	**F**	F
T	F	F	**F**	T
T	F	F	**F**	F
F	F	T	**F**	T
F	F	T	**F**	F
F	F	F	**F**	T
F	F	F	**F**	F

Distributivity: P ∨ (Q · R) :: (P ∨ Q) · (P ∨ R)

P	∨	(Q	·	R)
T	**T**	T	T	T
T	**T**	T	F	F
T	**T**	F	F	T
T	**T**	F	F	F
F	**T**	T	T	T
F	**F**	T	F	F
F	**F**	F	F	T
F	**F**	F	F	F

(P	∨	Q)	·	(P	∨	R)
T	T	T	**T**	T	T	T
T	T	T	**T**	T	T	F
T	T	F	**T**	T	T	T
T	T	F	**T**	T	T	F
F	T	T	**T**	F	T	T
F	T	T	**F**	F	F	F
F	F	F	**F**	F	T	T
F	F	F	**F**	F	F	F

Distributivity: P · (Q ∨ R) :: (P · Q) ∨ (P · R)

P	·	(Q	∨	R)
T	**T**	T	T	T
T	**T**	T	T	F
T	**T**	F	T	T
T	**F**	F	F	F
F	**F**	T	T	T
F	**F**	T	T	F
F	**F**	F	T	T
F	**F**	F	F	F

(P	·	Q)	∨	(P	·	R)
T	T	T	**T**	T	T	T
T	T	T	**T**	T	F	F
T	F	F	**T**	T	T	T
T	F	F	**F**	T	F	F
F	F	T	**F**	F	F	T
F	F	T	**F**	F	F	F
F	F	F	**F**	F	F	T
F	F	F	**F**	F	F	F

Tables for Commutativity and Double Negation are omitted for obviousness.