

Philosophy 109, Modern Logic, Queens College  
Russell Marcus, Instructor  
email: [philosophy@thatmarcusfamily.org](mailto:philosophy@thatmarcusfamily.org)  
website: <http://philosophy.thatmarcusfamily.org>  
Office phone: (718) 997-5287

## Relational Predicates and Overlapping Quantifiers, §8.6

### I. Introducing Relational Predicates

Consider the argument:

Bob is taller than Charles. Andrew is taller than Bob. For any  $x$ ,  $y$  and  $z$ , If  $x$  is taller than  $y$  and  $y$  is taller than  $z$ , then  $x$  is taller than  $z$ . So, Andrew is taller than Charles.

The conclusion should follow logically, but how do we translate the predicates?

If we only have monadic (1-place) predicates, like the ones we have so far considered, we have to translate the two first sentences with two different predicates:

Bob is taller than Charles:  $Tb$

Andrew is taller than Bob:  $Ya$

We really want a predicate that takes two objects. This is called a dyadic predicate. For examples:

$Txy$ :  $x$  is taller than  $y$

$Kxy$ :  $x$  knows  $y$

$Bxy$ :  $x$  believes  $y$

$Dxy$ :  $x$  does  $y$

We can have three-place predicates too, called triadic predicates:

$Gxyz$ :  $x$  gives  $y$  to  $z$

$Kxyz$ :  $x$  kisses  $y$  in  $z$

$Bxyz$ :  $x$  is between  $y$  and  $z$

Also, we can have four-place and higher level predicates. All predicates which take more than one object are called relational.

### II. Exercises A. Translate each sentence into predicate logic.

1. John loves Mary
2. Tokyo isn't smaller than New York.
3. Marco was introduced to Erika by Paco.
4. America took California from Mexico.

### III. Quantifiers with relational predicates

Return to the original problem:

Bob is taller than Charles:  $Tbc$

Andrew is taller than Bob:  $Tab$

But what about the general statement?

We need to put quantifiers on the relations.

Putting on a single quantifier:

Joe is bigger than some thing :  $(\exists x)Bjx$

Something is bigger than Joe:  $(\exists x)Bxj$

Joe is bigger than everything:  $(x)Bjx$

Everything is bigger than Joe:  $(x)Bxj$

We can dispense with constants altogether, introducing overlapping quantifiers.

Consider: ‘Everything loves something’:

$(x)(\exists y)Lxy$

Note the different quantifier letters: overlapping quantifiers must use different variables.

Also, the order of quantifiers matters: ‘ $(\exists x)(y)Lxy$ ’ means that something loves everything, which is different.

Consider these more complex examples:

1. Something taught Plato. ( $Txy$ :  $x$  taught  $y$ )

$(\exists x)Txp$

2. Someone taught Plato.

$(\exists x)(Px \cdot Txp)$

3. Plato taught everyone.

$(x)(Px \supset Tpx)$

4. Everyone knows something. ( $Kxy$ :  $x$  knows  $y$ )

$(x)[Px \supset (\exists y)Kxy]$

5. Everyone is wiser than someone. ( $Wxy$ :  $x$  is wiser than  $y$ )

$(x)[Px \supset (\exists y)(Py \cdot Wxy)]$

6. Someone is wiser than everyone.

$(\exists x)[Px \cdot (y)(Py \supset Wxy)]$

7. Some financier is richer than everyone. ( $Fx$ ,  $Rxy$ :  $x$  is richer than  $y$ )

$(\exists x)[Fx \cdot (y)(Py \supset Rxy)]$

8. No deity is weaker than some human. ( $Dx$ ,  $Hx$ ,  $Wxy$ :  $x$  is weaker than  $y$ )

$\sim(\exists x)[Dx \cdot (\exists y)(Hy \cdot Wxy)]$  or  $(x)[Dx \supset (y)(Hy \supset \sim Wxy)]$

9. Honest candidates are always defeated by dishonest candidates. ( $Hx$ ,  $Cx$ ,  $Dxy$ :  $x$  defeats  $y$ )

$(x)\{(Cx \cdot Hx) \supset (\exists y)[(Cy \cdot \sim Hx) \cdot Dxy]\}$

10. No mouse is mightier than himself. ( $Mx$ ,  $Mxy$ :  $x$  is mightier than  $y$ )

$(x)(Mx \supset \sim Mxx)$

11. Everyone buys something from some store. ( $Px$ ,  $Sx$ ,  $Bxyz$ :  $x$  buys  $y$  from  $z$ )

$(x)[Px \supset (\exists y)(\exists z)(Sz \cdot Bxyz)]$

12. There is a store from which everyone buys something.

$(\exists x)\{Sx \cdot (y)[Py \supset (\exists z)Byzx]\}$

13. No store has everyone for a customer.

$\sim(\exists x)\{Sx \cdot (y)[Py \supset (\exists z)Byzx]\}$  or  $(x)\{Sx \supset (\exists y)[Py \cdot (z)\sim Byzx]\}$

#### IV. Order of quantifiers, and scope

Mostly we keep narrow scope.

This means we don’t introduce a quantifier until it’s needed.

On occasion, not here, but later, we will just put all quantifiers in front, using broad scope.

We must be careful.

Sometimes the order of the quantifiers and the scope doesn’t matter:

‘Everyone loves everyone’ can be written as any of the following:

$(x)[Px \supset (y)(Py \supset Lxy)]$

$(x)(y)[(Px \cdot Py) \supset Lxy]$

$(y)(x)[(Px \cdot Py) \supset Lxy]$

Technically, this latter is everyone is loved by everyone. But these are logically equivalent.

Similarly, someone loves someone can be written as any of the following:

$(\exists x)[Px \cdot (\exists y)(Py \cdot Lxy)]$

$(\exists x)(\exists y)[(Px \cdot Py) \cdot Lxy]$

$(\exists y)(\exists x)[(Px \cdot Py) \cdot Lxy]$

Again, the latter is someone is loved by someone. Again, these are equivalent.

But when you mix universals with existentials, you have to be careful, since reversing the order of the quantifiers changes the meaning of the proposition.

None of the following examples are equivalent:

1.  $(x)(\exists y)[(Px \cdot Py) \cdot Lxy]$  - Everyone loves someone.
  2.  $(\exists y)(x)[(Px \cdot Py) \supset Lxy]$  - Someone is loved by everyone.
  3.  $(\exists x)(y)[(Px \cdot Py) \supset Lxy]$  - Someone loves everyone.
  4.  $(y)(\exists x)[(Px \cdot Py) \cdot Lxy]$  - Everyone is loved by someone.
- Take your time with the above. Make sure you understand them.

Note that the first word in each translation above corresponds to the leading quantifier.

Also, note the main connective is determined by the innermost quantifier.

If the innermost quantifier is existential, the main connective is a conjunction.

If the innermost quantifier is universal, the main connective is a conditional.

This may be clearer if we take the quantifiers inside.

1.  $(x)[Px \supset (\exists y)(Py \cdot Lxy)]$
2.  $(\exists y)[Py \cdot (x)(Px \supset Lxy)]$

Moral: Keep your scopes narrow to avoid confusion.

**V. Exercises B.** Translate each of the following sentences into predicate logic.

1. Everyone loves something.  $(Px, Lxy)$
2. No one knows everything.  $(Px, Kxy)$
3. No one knows everyone.
4. Every woman is stronger than some man.  $(Wx, Mx, Sxy: x \text{ is stronger than } y)$
5. No cat is smarter than any horse.  $(Cx, Hx, Sxy: x \text{ is smarter than } y)$
6. Dead men tell no tales.  $(Dx, Mx, Tx, Txy: x \text{ tells } y)$
7. There is a city between New York and Washington.  $(Cx, Bxyz: y \text{ is between } x \text{ and } z)$
8. Everyone gives something to someone.  $(Px, Gxyz: y \text{ gives } x \text{ to } z)$
9. A dead lion is more dangerous than a live dog.  $(Ax: x \text{ is alive, } Lx, Dx, Dxy: x \text{ is more dangerous than } y)$
10. A lawyer who pleads his own case has a fool for a client.  $(Lx, Fx, Pxy: x \text{ pleads } y\text{'s case; } Cxy: y \text{ is a client of } x)$

## VI. Deductions using Relational Predicates and Overlapping Quantifiers

Consider again the original problem:

Prove: Bob is taller than Charles. Andrew is taller than Bob. For any  $x, y$  and  $z$ , If  $x$  is taller than  $y$  and  $y$  is taller than  $z$ , then  $x$  is taller than  $z$ . So, Andrew is taller than Charles.

1.  $Tbc$
2.  $Tab$
3.  $(x)(y)(z)[(Txy \cdot Tyz) \supset Txz] \quad / \quad Tac$

Use the same rules of inference, one at a time. (there's one exception, to UG, which we will note shortly.)

4.  $(y)(z)[(Tay \cdot Tyz) \supset Taz] \quad 3, UI$
  5.  $(z)[(Tab \cdot Tbz) \supset Taz] \quad 4, UI$
  6.  $(Tab \cdot Tbc) \supset Tac \quad 5, UI$
  7.  $(Tab \cdot Tbc) \quad 2, 1, Conj$
  8.  $Tac \quad 6, 7, MP$
- QED

An example, taking quantifiers off in the middle of the proof:

- |  |                               |
|--|-------------------------------|
| 1. $(\exists x)[Hx \cdot (y)(Hy \supset Lyx)]$ | / $(\exists x)(Hx \cdot Lxx)$ |
| 2. $Ha \cdot (y)(Hy \supset Lya)$              | 1, EI                         |
| 3. $Ha$  | 2, Simp                       |
| 4. $(y)(Hy \supset Lya)$                       | 2, Com, Simp                  |
| 5. $Ha \supset Laa$                            | 4, UI                         |
| 6. $Laa$                                       | 5, 3, MP                      |
| 7. $Ha \cdot Laa$                              | 3, 6, Conj                    |
| 8. $(\exists x)(Hx \cdot Lxx)$                 | 7, EG                         |
- QED

### The restriction on UG:

Consider the following derivation

- |                        |  |
|------------------------|--|
| 1. $(x)(\exists y)Lxy$ | Everything loves something.                    |
| 2. $(\exists y)Lxy$    | 1, UI  |
| 3. $Lxa$               | 2, EI  |
| 4. $(x)Lxa$            | 3, UG But incorrect!                           |
| 5. $(\exists y)(x)Lxy$ | 4, EG There's something that everything loves. |

You shouldn't be able to derive step 5 from step 1.

The problem is in step 4

**You may never UG on a variable when there's a constant present, and the variable was free when the constant was introduced.**

I.e. In line 4, because 'x' was free in line 3 when 'a' was introduced

The justification of the restriction:

In line 2, we were picking some random object x.

Then, at line 3, we introduced 'a' as the name of what x loves .

Since everything loves something, there must be some thing 'a' loved by whatever x we pick.

But now we can't say that every 'x' loves 'a'. 'x' has become as particular an object as 'a' is.

Another warning: When quantifying, using (UG) or (EG), watch for accidental binding.

Consider :  $(Pa \cdot Qa) \supset (Fx \vee Gx)$

If you try to quantify over the 'a' using EG with the variable 'x', you accidentally bind the latter two terms:

$(\exists x)[(Px \cdot Qx) \supset (Fx \vee Gx)]$

Instead, use a 'y':

$(\exists y)[(Py \cdot Qy) \supset (Fx \vee Gx)]$

Now the latter terms remain free.

An example with several quantifiers:

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| 1. $(\exists x)(y)[(\exists z)Ayz \supset Ayx]$ |   |
| 2. $(y)(\exists z)Ayz$                          | / $(\exists x)(y)Ayx$                                     |
| 3. $(y)[(\exists z)Ayz \supset Aya]$            | 1, EI EI before you UI, replace the 'x'.                  |
| 4. $(\exists z)Ayz \supset Aya$                 | 3, UI Keep the same variable - easier to keep track!      |
| 5. $(\exists z)Ayz$                             | 2, UI You may instantiate to the same variable - it's UI. |
| 6. $Aya$  | 4, 5, MP  |
| 7. $(y)Aya$                                     | 6, UG 'y' was not free when 'a' was introduced in line3!  |
| 8. $(\exists x)(y)Ayx$                          | 7, EG   |
- QED

An example using conditional proof:

1.  $(x)[Ax \supset (y)Bxy]$
  2.  $(x)[Ax \supset (\exists y)Dxy]$                        $\neg(x)(\exists y)[Ax \supset (Bxy \cdot Dxy)]$ 
    3.  $Ax$                       ACP
    4.  $Ax \supset (\exists y)Dxy$                       2, UI
    5.  $(\exists y)Dxy$                       4, 3 MP
    6.  $Dxa$                       5, EI
    7.  $Ax \supset (y)By$                       1, UI
    8.  $(y)Bxy$                       7, 3, MP
    9.  $Bxa$                       8, UI
    10.  $Bxa \cdot Dxa$                       9, 8, Conj
  11.  $Ax \supset (Bxa \cdot Dxa)$                       3-10, CP
  12.  $(\exists y)[Ax \supset (Bxy \cdot Dxy)]$                       11, EG
  13.  $(x)(\exists y)[Ax \supset (Bxy \cdot Dxy)]$                       12, UG
- QED

A more complex proof:

1.  $(x)(Wx \supset Xx)$
  2.  $(x)[(Yx \cdot Xx) \supset Zx]$
  3.  $(x)(\exists y)(Yy \cdot Ayx)$
  4.  $(x)(y)[(Ayx \cdot Zy) \supset Zx]$                        $\neg(x)[(y)(Ayx \supset Wy) \supset Zx]$ 
    5.  $(y)(Ayx \supset Wy)$                       ACP
    6.  $(\exists y)(Yy \cdot Ayx)$                       3, UI
    7.  $Ya \cdot Aax$                       6, EI
    8.  $Aax \supset Wa$                       5, UI
    9.  $Aax$                       7, Com, Simp
    10.  $Wa$                       8,9, MP
    11.  $Wa \supset Xa$                       1, UI
    12.  $Xa$                       11, 10, MP
    13.  $Ya$                       7, Simp
    14.  $Ya \cdot Xa$                       13, 12, Conj
    15.  $(Ya \cdot Xa) \supset Za$                       2, UI
    16.  $Za$                       15, 14, MP
    17.  $(y)[(Ayx \cdot Zy) \supset Zx]$                       4, UI
    18.  $(Aax \cdot Za) \supset Zx$                       17, UI
    19.  $Aax \cdot Za$                       9, 16, Conj
    20.  $Zx$                       18, 19, MP
  21.  $(y)(Ayx \supset Wy) \supset Zx$                       5-20, CP
  22.  $(x)[(y)(Ayx \supset Wy) \supset Zx]$                       21, UG
- QED

You can't use this as the conclusion!  
Keep the x, that's the point.

**VII. Exercises C.** Derive the conclusions of each of the following arguments.

1)

1.  $(x)(Cax \supset Dxb)$
2.  $(\exists x)Dxb \supset (\exists y)Dby$  /  $(\exists x)Cax \supset (\exists y)Dby$

2)

1.  $(x)[Ex \supset (y)(Fy \supset Gxy)]$
2.  $(\exists x)[Ex \cdot (\exists y)\sim Gxy]$  /  $(\exists x)\sim Fx$

3)

1.  $(\exists x)Ax \supset (\exists x)Bx$  /  $(\exists y)(x)(Ax \supset By)$

4)

1.  $(x)[Mx \supset (y)(Ny \supset Oxy)]$
2.  $(x)[Px \supset (y)(Oxy \supset Qy)]$  /  $(\exists x)(Mx \cdot Px) \supset (y)(Ny \supset Qy)$

Solutions may vary.

**VIII. Translating to English**

Use the translation key on the left to translate the formulas on the right into English sentences. For example, the answer to the first one is given.

Ax: x is silver  
 Bxy: x belongs to y  
 Cx: x is a cloud  
 Cxy: x keeps company with y  
 Dx: x is a dog  
 Ex: x is smoke  
 Fx: x is fire  
 Fxy: x is fair for y  
 g: God  
 Gx: x is glass  
 Gxy: x gathers y  
 Hx: x is home  
 Hxy: x helps y  
 Ixy: x is in y  
 Jxy: x is judged by y  
 Kxy: x is a jack of y  
 Lx: x is a lining  
 Lxy: x is like y  
 Mx: x is moss  
 Mxy: x is master of y  
 Px: x is a person  
 Qx: x is a place  
 Rx: x rolls  
 Sx: x is a stone  
 Tx: x is a trade  
 Txy: x should throw y  
 Ux: x is a house  
 Uxy: x comes to y  
 Vxy: x ventures y  
 Wx: x waits  
 Yx: x is a day

1.  $(x)[Dx \supset (\exists y)(Yy \cdot Byx)]$   
 For all x, if x is a dog, then there exists a day which  
 belongs to that dog.  
 Or, every dog has its day!
  2.  $(x)[(\exists y)(Py \cdot Fxy) \supset (z)(Pz \supset Fxz)]$
  3.  $(x)[(Rx \cdot Sx) \supset (y)(My \supset \sim Gxy)]$
  4.  $(x)[(Px \cdot Wx) \supset (y)Uyx]$
  5.  $(x)[(Px \cdot Hxx) \supset Hgx]$
  6.  $(x)[Hx \supset (y)(Qy \supset \sim Lyx)]$
  7.  $(x)\{Cx \supset (\exists y)[(Ay \cdot Ly) \cdot Byx]\}$
  8.  $(x)[Px \supset (y)(Cxy \supset Jxy)]$
  9.  $(x)\{Qx \supset [(\exists y)(Ey \cdot Iyx) \supset (\exists z)(Fz \cdot Ixz)]\}$
  10.  $(x)\{[Px \cdot (y)(Ty \supset Kxy)] \supset (z)(Tz \supset \sim Mxz)\}$
  11.  $(x)\{\{Px \cdot (\exists y)[(Gy \cdot Uy) \cdot Ixy]\} \supset (z)(Sz \supset \sim Txz)\}$
  12.  $(x)\{[Px \cdot (y)\sim Vxy] \supset (z)\sim Gxz\}$
- This exercise is adapted from Copi, *Symbolic Logic*, 5th ed., MacMillan Publ., 1979.

## IX. Solutions

Answers to Exercises A:

1. Ljm
2.  $\sim$ Stn
3. Ipme
4. Tcam

Answers to Exercises B:

1.  $(x)[Px \supset (\exists y)Lxy]$
2.  $(x)[Px \supset (\exists y)\sim Kxy]$  or  $\sim(\exists x)[Px \cdot (y)Kxy]$
3.  $(x)[Px \supset (\exists y)(Py \cdot \sim Kxy)]$  or  $\sim(\exists x)[Px \cdot (y)(Py \supset Kxy)]$
4.  $(x)[Wx \supset (\exists y)(My \cdot Sxy)]$
5.  $\sim(\exists x)[Cx \cdot (\exists y)(Hy \cdot Sxy)]$  or  $(x)[Cx \supset (y)(Hy \supset \sim Sxy)]$
6.  $(x)[(Dx \cdot Mx) \supset \sim(\exists y)(Ty \cdot Txy)]$
7.  $(\exists x)(Cx \cdot Bnxw)$
8.  $(x)[Px \supset (\exists y)(\exists z)(Pz \cdot Gyxz)]$
9.  $(x)\{(\sim Ax \cdot Lx) \supset (y)[(Ay \cdot Dy) \supset Dxy]\}$
10.  $(x)[(Lx \cdot Pxx) \supset (\exists y)(Fy \cdot Cxy)]$  or  $(x)[(Lx \cdot Pxx) \supset Fx]$

Note that these two translations aren't equivalent.

The first translates the surface grammar.

The second translates the meaning.

Answers to Exercises D:

1. Every dog has its day.
2. What's fair for one is fair for all.
3. Rolling stones gather no moss.
4. All things come to those who wait.
5. God helps those who help themselves.
6. There's no place like home.
7. Every cloud has a silver lining.
8. A person is judged by the company he keeps. (But note the error in meaning, here.)
9. Where there's smoke, there's fire.
10. A jack of all trades is a master of none.
11. People who live in glass houses shouldn't throw stones.
12. Nothing ventured, nothing gained.