

# Philosophy 240: Symbolic Logic

Russell Marcus  
Hamilton College  
Fall 2015

Class #41 - Second-Order Quantification

# Second-Order Inferences

- Consider a red apple and a red fire truck.

$$(\exists x)(Rx \cdot Ax)$$

$$(\exists x)(Rx \cdot Fx)$$

- We might want to infer that they have something in common, that they share a property.

1.  $(\exists x)(Rx \cdot Ax)$

2.  $(\exists x)(Rx \cdot Fx)$

3.  $Ra \cdot Aa$             1, EI

4.  $Rb \cdot Ab$             3, EI

5.  $Ra$                     3, Simp

6.  $Rb$                     4, Simp

7.  $Ra \cdot Rb$             5, 6, Conj

8.  $(\exists X)(Xa \cdot Xb)$     7, by existential generalization over predicates

# Predicate Variables

- In the prior slide, I treated the predicate 'R' as subject to quantification, like a singular term.
- A language which allows quantification over predicate places is called a second-order language.
- A system of logic which uses a second-order language is called second-order logic.
  - We'll call our second-order logic **S**.
- Second-order logic is controversial.
  - Let's look at it first.
  - Then we can talk about the controversy.

# Vocabulary of S

- Capital letters
  - A...U, used as predicates
  - **V, W, X, Y, and Z, used as predicate variables**
- Lower case letters
  - a, b, c, d, e, i, j, k...u are used as constants.
  - f, g, and h are used as functors.
  - v, w, x, y, z are used as singular variables.
- Five connectives:  $\sim$ ,  $\bullet$ ,  $\vee$ ,  $\supset$ ,  $\equiv$
- Quantifiers:  $\exists$ ,  $\forall$
- Punctuation:  $()$ ,  $[]$ ,  $\{\}$

# Formation Rules for Wffs of S

1. An n-place predicate or predicate variable followed by n terms (constants, variables, or functor terms) is a wff.
2. For any singular variable  $\beta$ , if  $\alpha$  is a wff that does not contain either ' $(\exists\beta)$ ' or ' $(\forall\beta)$ ', then ' $(\exists\beta)\alpha$ ' and ' $(\forall\beta)\alpha$ ' are wffs.
3. **For any predicate variable  $\beta$ , if  $\alpha$  is a wff that does not contain either ' $(\exists\beta)$ ' or ' $(\forall\beta)$ ', then ' $(\exists\beta)\alpha$ ' and ' $(\forall\beta)\alpha$ ' are wffs.**
4. If  $\alpha$  is a wff, so is  $\sim\alpha$ .
5. If  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are wffs, then so are:
  - ▶  $(\alpha \cdot \beta)$
  - ▶  $(\alpha \vee \beta)$
  - ▶  $(\alpha \supset \beta)$
  - ▶  $(\alpha \equiv \beta)$
6. These are the only ways to make wffs.

# Uses of Predicate Variables

- No two distinct things have all properties in common.
  - ▶  $(\forall x)(\forall y)[x \neq y \supset (\exists X)(Xx \cdot \sim Xy)]$
- Identical objects share all properties (Leibniz's law).
  - ▶  $(\forall x)(\forall y)[x = y \supset (\forall Y)(Yx \equiv Yy)]$
- The identity of indiscernibles
  - ▶  $(\forall x)(\forall y)[(\forall Z)(Zx \equiv Zy) \supset x = y]$
- The Law of the Excluded Middle
  - ▶  $(\forall X)(X \vee \sim X)$
- Analogies: Cat is to meow as dog is to bark.
  - ▶  $(\exists X)(Xcm \cdot Xdb)$
- First-order mathematical induction schema can be written as an axiom.
  - ▶  $(\forall X)\{\{Na \cdot Xa \cdot (\forall x)[(Nx \cdot Xx) \supset Xf(x)]\} \supset (\forall x)(Nx \supset Xx)\}$
  - ▶ a: zero
  - ▶ Nx: x is a number

# More Translations

1. Everything has some relation to itself.

▶  $(\forall x)(\exists V)\forall xx$

2. All people have some property in common.

▶  $(\forall x)(\forall y)[(Px \cdot Py) \supset (\exists Y)(Yx \cdot Yy)]$

3. No two people have every property in common.

▶  $(\forall x)(\forall y)[(Px \cdot Py) \supset (\exists Z)(Zx \cdot \sim Zy)]$

# Characterizing Relations

- We can regiment basic characteristics of relations without second-order logic.
- Here are three characteristics of relations, in first-order logic:
  - ▶ Reflexivity:  $(\forall x)Rxx$
  - ▶ Symmetry:  $(\forall x)(\forall y)(Rxy \equiv Ryx)$
  - ▶ Transitivity:  $(\forall x)(\forall y)(\forall z)[(Rxy \cdot Ryz) \supset Rxz]$
  - ▶ Asymmetry:  $(\forall x)(\forall y)(Rxy \equiv \sim Ryx)$
  - ▶ Antisymmetry:  $(\forall x)(\forall y)[(Rxy \cdot Ryx) \supset x=y]$ 
    - Asymmetry entails antisymmetry
    - $<$  is asymmetric
    - $\leq$  is antisymmetric



# Characterizing Relations in Second-Order Logic

- Second-order logic allows us to do more.
- Some relations are transitive.
  - ▶  $(\exists X)(\forall x)(\forall y)(\forall z)[(Xxy \cdot Xyz) \supset Xxz]$
- Some relations are symmetric, while some are asymmetric.
  - ▶  $(\exists X)(\forall x)(\forall y)(Xxy \equiv Xyx) \cdot (\exists X)(\forall x)(\forall y)(Xxy \equiv \sim Xyx)$

# Replacing the Identity Predicate

$$x=y \text{ iff } (\forall X)(Xx \equiv Xy)$$

- ▶ Symmetry and reflexivity follow from the symmetry and reflexivity of the biconditional.
- ▶ IDi follows from BMP.

# Identity for Properties

- We would like to say something about property identity.
  - ▶ For example: There are at least two distinct properties.
  - ▶  $(\exists X)(\exists Y)X \neq Y$
- But identity is a relation between singular terms, not predicates.
- And there are no objects attached to the predicates above.
- We can add a quantifier to take care of the singular terms:
  - ▶  $(\exists X)(\exists Y)(\exists x)\sim(Xx \equiv Yx)$
- This only indicates that there are distinct monadic properties.
- What about dyadic properties?
  - ▶  $(\exists X)(\exists Y)(\exists x)(\exists y)\sim(Xxy \equiv Yxy)$
- In order to generalize such claims, higher-order logics are required.

# Higher-Order Logics

- All logics after first-order logic are called higher-order logic
- To create third-order logic, we introduce attributes of attributes.
- All useful properties are desirable.
  - ▶  $(\forall X)(UX \supset DX)$
  - ▶ Not a wff in **S**; it lacks singular terms
- A man who possesses all virtues is a virtuous man, but there are virtuous men who do not possess all virtues:
  - ▶  $(\forall x)\{[Mx \cdot (\forall X)(\forall X \supset Xx)] \supset \forall x\} \cdot (\exists x)[Mx \cdot \forall x \cdot (\exists X)(\forall X \cdot \sim Xx)]$
  - ▶ More missing singular terms.
  - ▶ Also, the third-order variables are applied both to predicates and terms, which is a category error.
- Cleaning it up would make it messier.
  - ▶ Yes, I said that.

