Philosophy 240 Symbolic Logic

Identity Theory Jigsaw Lesson Work Group: At Most

I. Translation key:

b: Berkeley; d: Descartes; h: Hume; k: Kant; n: Nietzsche
Ex: x is an empiricist; Ix: x is an idealist; Px: x is a philosopher; Rx: x is a rationalist
Lxy: x likes y; Mxy: x is read more widely than y; Pxy: x plays billiards with y; Rxy: x respects
y; Wxy: x wrote y
Lxyz: x likes y better than z

II. Examine the translations below, which use the key in I. Note that 'at most' statements make no existential commitments.

1. Nietzsche respects at most one philosopher.

 $(\forall x)(\forall y)[(Px \bullet Rnx \bullet Py \bullet Rny) \supset x=y]$

2. Nietzsche respects at most two philosophers.

 $(\forall x)(\forall y)(\forall z)[(Px \bullet Rnx \bullet Py \bullet Rny \bullet Pz \bullet Rnz) \supset (x=y \lor x=z \lor y=z)]$

3. Kant likes at most two empiricists better than Hume.

 $(\forall x)(\forall y)(\forall z)[(Ex \bullet Lkxh \bullet Ey \bullet Lkyh \bullet Ez \bullet Lkzh) \supset (x=y \lor x=z \lor y=z)]$

4. At most one idealist plays billiards with some rationalist.

 $(\forall x)(\forall y)$ {Ix • $(\exists z)(Rz • Pxz) • Iy • (\exists z)(Rz • Pyz)$] $\supset x=y$ }

5. At most two rationalists wrote a book more widely read than every book written by Hume.

 $\begin{aligned} (\forall x)(\forall y)(\forall z) \{ \{ Rx \bullet (\exists w)[Bw \bullet Wxw \bullet (\forall z)(Bz \bullet Whz) \supset Mwz] \bullet Ry \bullet (\exists w)[Bw \bullet Wyw \\ \bullet (\forall z)(Bz \bullet Whz) \supset Mwz] \bullet Rz \bullet (\exists w)[Bw \bullet Wzw \bullet (\forall z)(Bz \bullet Whz) \supset Mwz] \} \supset \\ (x=y \lor x=z \lor y=z) \} \end{aligned}$

III. Try these, using the key in I.

6. At most one philosopher is both an empiricist and a rationalist.

7. Berkeley respects at most two philosophers.

8. Some empiricists like Descartes but at most two.