Philosophy 240 Symbolic Logic

Russell Marcus Hamilton College Fall 2011

Class 22 - Translation into Predicate Logic I (§3.1)

## Propositional Logic and Predicate Logic

- In <u>Propositional Logic</u>, we have the following elements:
  - Capital English letters for simple statements
  - Five connectives
  - Punctuation (brackets)
- In <u>Predicate Logic</u>, we have the following elements:
  - Complex statements
    - singular terms
    - predicates
  - Quantifiers
  - The same five connectives
  - The same punctuation

## **Singular Terms and Predicates**

- We represent objects using lower case letters.
  - 'a, b, c,...u' stand for specific objects, and are called constants.
  - ► 'v, w, x, y, z' are used as variables.
- We represent properties of objects using capital letters, called predicates.
  - Pa: means object a has property P, and can be read "P of a"
  - Pe: Emily is a philosopher
  - He: Emily is happy
    - 1. Alice is clever.

Са

2. Bobby works hard.

Wb

- 3. Chuck plays tennis regularly. Pc
- 4. Dan will see Erika on Tuesday at noon in the gym.

Sd

## **Two Kinds of Quantifiers**

- Existential quantifiers:  $(\exists v)$ ,  $(\exists w)$ ,  $(\exists x)$ ,  $(\exists y)$ ,  $(\exists z)$ 
  - There exists a thing, such that
  - For some thing
  - There is a thing
  - For at least one thing
  - Something
- Universal quantifiers: (∀v), (∀w),(∀x), (∀y), (∀z)
  - ► For all x
  - Everything
- The amibguity of 'anything'
  - In 'If anything is missing, you'll be sorry', we use an existential quantifier.
  - ► In 'Anything goes', we use a universal quantifier.

## **Translations Using Quantifiers**

One predicate

- Something is made in the USA.
  - ► (∃x)Ux
- Everything is made in the USA.
  - ► (∀x)Ux
- Nothing is made in the USA.
  - ► (∀x)~Ux
  - ► or
  - ► ~(∃x)Ux

## **Translations Using Quantifiers**

More than one predicate

- All persons are mortal.
  - $(\forall x)(Px \supset Mx)$
- Some actors are vain.
  - ► (∃x)(Ax · Vx)
- Some gods aren't mortal.
  - ► (∃x)(Gx · ~Mx)
- No frogs are people.
  - $(\forall x)(Fx \supset \ \ Px)or \ (\exists x)(Fx \cdot Px)$

## Propositions With More Than Two Predicates

- More than one predicate in the subject:
  - Some wooden desks are uncomfortable.
    (∃x)[(Wx · Dx) · ~Cx]
  - All wooden desks are uncomfortable
    (∀x)[(Wx · Dx) ⊃ ~Cx]
- More than one predicate in the attribute:
  - Many applicants are untrained or inexperienced (∃x)[Ax · (~Tx ∨ ~Ex)]
  - All applicants are untrained or inexperienced (∀x)[Ax ⊃ (~Tx ∨ ~Ex)]

# Only

#### With Two Predicates

- Only men have been presidents.
  - If something has been a president, it must have been a man.
  - All presidents have been men.
- 'Only Ps are Qs' is logically equivalent to 'all Qs are Ps'.
  - All men have been presidents.

 $(\forall x)(Mx \supset Px)$ 

Only men have been presidents.

 $(\forall x)(Px \supset Mx)$ 

## Only

### More than two predicates

- All intelligent students understand Kant.
  - $(\forall x)[(Ix \bullet Sx) \supset Ux]$
- Only intelligent students understand Kant
  - $(\forall x)[Ux \supset (Ix \bullet Sx)]$ 
    - Probably not
  - ►  $(\forall x)[(Ux \bullet Sx) \supset Ix)]$ 
    - Better
- So: 'Only PQs are R' is ordinarily the same as 'All RQs are P'
- But...
- Only famous men have been presidents.
  - $(\forall x)[(Px \supset (Mx \bullet Fx)]]$
  - $(\forall x)[(\mathsf{Px} \bullet \mathsf{Mx}) \supset \mathsf{Fx}]$ 
    - Either could be used.
    - The former is more likely.
- Only probability-challenged ticket-holders win the lottery.
  - $(\forall x)[Wx \supset (Px \bullet Tx)]$

### More than One Quantifier

- If anything is damaged, then everyone in the house complains.
  - ►  $(\exists x)Dx \supset (\forall x)[(Ix \cdot Px) \supset Cx]$
- Either all the gears are broken, or a cylinder is missing.
  - $(\forall x)(Gx \supset Bx) \lor (\exists x)(Cx \cdot Mx)$
- Some philosophers are realists, while other philosophers are fictionalists.
  - $(\exists x)(\mathsf{Px} \bullet \mathsf{Rx}) \bullet (\exists x)(\mathsf{Px} \bullet \mathsf{Fx})$
- It's not the case that all conventionalists are logical empiricists if and only if some holists are conventionalists.
  - ~ $[(\forall x)(Cx \supset Lx) \equiv (\forall x)(Hx \supset Cx)]$