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## Quantifiers: Narrow and Wide Scope

- Wide scope: standing in front of the proposition
- $(x)(y)[P x \supset(Q y \supset R x y)]$
- Narrow scope: located inside the proposition
- (x)[Px $\supset(y)(Q y \supset R x y)]$
- Mostly, it is good form to keep narrow scope.


## Moving Universal Quantifiers

- In some cases, we can move quantifiers around without much worry.
- If all quantifiers are universal, we can pull them in or out at will.
- Be careful not to accidentally bind any variables!
- Everyone loves everyone
- (x)[Px $\supset(\mathrm{y})(\mathrm{Py} \supset \mathrm{Lxy})]$
- (x)(y)[(Px • Py) $\supset L x y]$
- (y)(x)[(Px $\cdot \mathrm{Py}) ~ \supset L x y]$


# Moving Existential Quantifiers 

Someone loves someone

- ( $\exists \mathrm{x})[\mathrm{Px} \cdot(\exists \mathrm{y})(\mathrm{Py} \cdot \mathrm{Lxy})]$
- ( $\exists \mathrm{x})(\exists \mathrm{y})[(\mathrm{Px} \cdot \mathrm{Py}) \cdot \mathrm{Lxy}]$
- $(\exists y)(\exists x)[(P x \cdot P y) \cdot L x y]$


## Mixing Quantifiers

- None of the following examples are equivalent:
- Everyone loves someone: (x) $(\exists y)[P x \supset(P y \cdot L x y)]$
- Everyone is loved by someone: $(x)(\exists y)[P x \supset(P y \cdot L y x)]$
- Someone loves everyone: $\quad(\exists x)(y)[P x \cdot(P y \supset L x y)]$
- Someone is loved by everyone: $(\exists x)(y)[P x \cdot(P y \supset L y x)]$
- The first word in each translation above corresponds to the leading quantifier.
- The connectives which directly follow the 'Px' and the 'Py' are determined by the quantifier binding that variable.


## Using Narrow Scope

- Everyone loves someone. $(x)[P x \supset(\exists y)(P y \cdot L x y)]$
- Everyone is loved by someone. (x)[Px $\supset(\exists y)(P y \cdot L y x)]$
- Someone loves everyone.
$(\exists x)[P x \cdot(y)(P y \supset L x y)]$
- Someone is loved by everyone.
$(\exists x)[P x \cdot(y)(P y \supset L y x)]$


## Moving Mixed Quantifiers: A Problem

- The following sentences are not equivalent
- $(x)[(\exists y) L x y \supset H x]$

For any $x$, if there is a $y$ that $x$ loves, then $x$ is happy.
All lovers are happy.

- $(x)(\exists y)(L x y \supset H x)$

For any $x$, there is a $y$ such that if $x$ loves $y$ then $x$ is happy.

- The first does not commit to the existence of something that, by being loved, makes a person happy.
- The second does.


## Prenex Normal Form (PNF)

- Some metalogical proofs require all statements of $\mathbf{F}$ to be written with all quantifiers having wide scope.
- A sentence is in Prenex Normal Form (PNF) if all of its quantifiers are in the front, having wide scope.
- Rules of Passage allow us to transform all statements of Finto PNF.
- They are rules of replacement.
- I will not require that you use them in proofs.
- They may be useful in learning how to translate.


## Rules of Passage

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { RP1: }(\exists x)(F x \vee G x)::(\exists x) F x \vee(\exists x) G x \\
& \text { RP2: }(x)(F x \cdot G x)::(x) F x \bullet(x) G x \\
& \text { RP3: }(\exists x)(\alpha \cdot F x):: \alpha \bullet(\exists x) F x \\
& \text { RP4: }(x)(\alpha \bullet F x):: \alpha \bullet(x) F x \\
& \text { RP5: }(\exists x)(\alpha \vee F x):: \alpha \vee(\exists x) F x \\
& \text { RP6: }(x)(\alpha \vee F x):: \alpha \vee(x) F x \\
& \text { RP7: }(\exists x)(\alpha \supset F x):: \alpha \supset(\exists x) F x \\
& \text { RP8: }(x)(\alpha \supset F x):: \alpha \supset(x) F x \\
& \text { RP9: }(\exists x)(F x \supset \alpha)::(x) F x \supset \alpha \\
& \text { RP10: }(x)(F x \supset \alpha)::(\exists x) F x \supset \alpha
\end{aligned}
$$

- ' $\alpha$ ' stands for any formula which does not contain a free instance of the quantifier variable.
- No accidental binding!


## Examples

- Using RP4:
- ( $\exists \mathrm{x})[\mathrm{Px} \cdot(\mathrm{y})(\mathrm{Qy} \supset \mathrm{Rxy})]$
- $(\exists x)(y)[P x \cdot(Q y>R x y)]$
- Using RP8:
- $(\exists x)(y)[P x \supset(Q y \supset R x y)]$
- $(\exists x)[P x \supset(y)(Q y \supset R x y)]$
- Using RP9:
- (x) ( $\exists \mathrm{y})(\mathrm{Lxy} \supset \mathrm{Hx})$
- (x)[(y)Lxy $\supset \mathrm{Hx}]$
- Using RP10:
- (x)[(ヨy)Lxy $\supset H x]$
- (x)(y)(Lxy $\supset \mathrm{Hx})$
- Also Using RP10:
- (x)[Px $\supset(\exists y) Q y]$
- ( $\exists \mathrm{x}) \mathrm{Px} \supset(\exists \mathrm{y}) \mathrm{Qy}$

RP4: $(x)(\alpha \cdot F x):: \alpha \cdot(x) F x$ RP8: $(x)(\alpha \supset F x):: \alpha \supset(x) F x$
RP9: $(\exists x)(F x \supset \alpha)::(x) F x \supset \alpha$
RP10: $(x)(F x \supset \alpha)::(\exists x) F x \supset \alpha$

## Proving RP10

$$
\text { RP10: }(x)(F x \supset \alpha)::(\exists x) F x \supset \alpha
$$

- Consider first what happens when $\alpha$ is true, and then when $\alpha$ is false.
- If $\alpha$ is true, then both formulas will turn out to be true.
- The consequent of the formula on the right is just $\alpha$.
- So, if $\alpha$ is true, the whole formula on the right will be true.
- On the left, ' $F x \supset \alpha$ ' will be true for every instance of $x$, since the consequent is true.
- So, the universal generalization of each such formula will be true.
- If $\alpha$ is false, then the truth value of each formula will depend.
- To show that the truth values of each formula will be the same, we will show that the formula on the right is true in every case that the formula on the left is true and that the formula on the left is true in every case that the formula on the right is true.
- If the formula on the left turns out to be true when $\alpha$ is false, it must be because ' $F x$ ' is false, for every $x$.
- But then, ' $(\exists x) F x$ ' will be false, and so the formula on the right turns out to be true.
- If the formula on the right turns out to be true, then it must be because ' $(\exists x) F x$ ' is false.
- And so, there will be no value of ' $x$ ' that makes ' $F x$ ' true, and so the formula on the right will also turn out to be (vacuously) true.
- QED


## Rules of Passage in Translations

RP7: $(\exists x)(\alpha \supset F x):: \alpha \supset(\exists x) F x$
RP9: $(\exists x)(F x \supset \alpha)::(x) F x \supset \alpha$
RP10: $(x)(F x \supset \alpha)::(\exists x) F x \supset \alpha$

- If anything was damaged, then everyone gets upset.
- ( $\exists \mathrm{x}) \mathrm{Dx} \supset(\mathrm{x})(\mathrm{Px} \supset \mathrm{Ux})$
- (x)[Dx $\supset(\mathrm{y})(\mathrm{Py} \supset \mathrm{Uy})] \quad$ by RP10
- §8.6: I.24: If there are any cheaters, then if all referees are vigilant, they will be punished.
- $(x)\{C x \supset[(y)(R y \supset V y) \supset P x]\}$
- ( x$)\{\mathrm{Cx} \supset(\exists \mathrm{y})[(\mathrm{Ry} \supset \mathrm{Vy}) \supset \mathrm{Px}]\} \quad$ by RP9
- $(\mathrm{x})(\exists \mathrm{y})\{\mathrm{Cx} \supset[(\mathrm{Ry} \supset \mathrm{Vy}) \supset \mathrm{Px}]\} \quad$ by RP7


## Prenex Normal Form

- Sentences do not have unique PNFs.
- If there is a philosopher whom all philosophers contradict, then there is a philosopher who contradicts himself.
- $(\exists x)[F x \cdot(y)(F y \supset G y x)] \supset(\exists z)(F z \cdot G z z)$
- Transformation \#1
- ( $\exists \mathrm{z})(\exists \mathrm{x})\{[\mathrm{Fx} \cdot(\mathrm{y})(\mathrm{Fy} \supset \mathrm{Gyx})] \supset(\mathrm{Fz} \cdot \mathrm{Gzz})\} \quad$ by RP7
- $(\exists \mathrm{z})(\exists \mathrm{x})\{(\mathrm{y})[\mathrm{Fx} \cdot(\mathrm{Fy} \supset \mathrm{Gyx})] \supset(\mathrm{Fz} \cdot \mathrm{Gzz})\} \quad$ by RP4
- $(\exists \mathrm{z})(\exists \mathrm{x})(\exists \mathrm{y})\{[\mathrm{Fx} \cdot(\mathrm{Fy} \supset \mathrm{Gyx})] \supset(\mathrm{Fz} \cdot \mathrm{Gzz})\} \quad$ by RP9
- Transformation \#2
- $(x)\{[F x \cdot(y)(F y \supset G y x)] \supset(\exists z)(F z \cdot G z z)\} \quad$ by RP10
- $(x)\{(y)[F x \cdot(F y \supset G y x)] \supset(\exists z)(F z \cdot G z z)\} \quad$ by RP4
- (x) $(\exists y)\{[F x \cdot(F y \supset G y x)] \supset(\exists z)(F z \cdot G z z)\}$ by RP9
- (x) $(\exists y)(\exists z)\{[F x \cdot(F y \supset G y x)] \supset(F z \cdot G z z)\}$ by RP7
- The results are in prenex form, and logically equivalent to the original sentence.
- But, they differ in form from each other.


## Logical Truths

- Here are four logical truths of $\mathbf{F}$.
- $(\mathrm{y})[(\mathrm{x}) \mathrm{Fx} \supset \mathrm{Fy}]$
- (y) $[F y \supset(\exists x) F x]$
- ( $\exists \mathrm{y})[\mathrm{Fy} \supset(\mathrm{x}) \mathrm{Fx}]$
- ( $\exists \mathrm{y})[(\exists \mathrm{x}) \mathrm{Fx} \supset \mathrm{Fy}]$
- These are all provable using IP.
- Note that each one has a similarity to one of the four rules for removing or replacing quantifiers.


## Exercises

1. Everyone loves something. (Px, Lxy)
2. No one knows everything. ( $\mathrm{Px}, \mathrm{Kxy}$ )
3. No one knows everyone.
4. Every woman is stronger than some man. (Wx, Mx, Sxy: $x$ is stronger than y )
5. No cat is smarter than any horse. (Cx, Hx, Sxy: $x$ is smarter than $y$ )
6. Dead men tell no tales. (Dx, Mx, Tx, Txy: $x$ tells y)
7. There is a city between New York and Washington. (Cx, Bxyz: y is between $x$ and $z$ )
8. Everyone gives something to someone. (Px, Gxyz: y gives $x$ to $z$ )
9. A dead lion is more dangerous than a live dog. (Ax: $x$ is alive, $L x, D x$, Dxy: $x$ is more dangerous than $y$ )
10. A lawyer who pleads his own case has a fool for a client. (Lx, Fx, Pxy: $x$ pleads $y$ 's case; Cxy: $y$ is a client of $x$ )
