**Philosophy 240: Symbolic Logic** Fall 2008 Mondays, Wednesdays, Fridays: 9am - 9:50am Hamilton College Russell Marcus rmarcus1@hamilton.edu

Class 35: Relational Predicates, Translation II (§8.6)

## **I. Introducing Relational Predicates**

Consider the argument:

Bob is taller than Charles. Andrew is taller than Bob. For any x, y and z, If x is taller than y and y is taller than z, then x is taller than z. So, Andrew is taller than Charles.

The conclusion should follow logically, but how do we translate the predicates? If we only have monadic (1-place) predicates, like the ones we have so far considered, we have to translate the two first sentences with two different predicates: Bob is taller than Charles: Tb Andrew is taller than Bob: Ya

We really want a predicate that takes two objects. This is called a dyadic predicate. For examples: Txy: x is taller than y Kxy: x knows y Bxy: x believes y Dxy: x does y

We can have three-place predicates too, called triadic predicates: Gxyz: x gives y to z Kxyz: x kisses y in z Bxyz: x is between y and z

Also, we can have four-place and higher level predicates. All predicates which take more than one object are called relational, or polyadic.

II. Exercises A. Translate each sentence into predicate logic.

- 1. John loves Mary
- 2. Tokyo isn't smaller than New York.
- 3. Marco was introduced to Erika by Paco.
- 4. America took California from Mexico.

## **III. Quantifiers with relational predicates**

Consider again the original argument. We can now translate the first two premises:

> Bob is taller than Charles: Tbc Andrew is taller than Bob: Tab

But what about the general statement? We need to put quantifiers on the relations. The following four sentences use 'Bxy' for 'x is bigger than y'.

> Joe is bigger than some thing :  $(\exists x)Bjx$ Something is bigger than Joe:  $(\exists x)Bxj$ Joe is bigger than everything: (x)BjxEverything is bigger than Joe: (x)Bxj

We can dispense with constants altogether, introducing overlapping quantifiers. Consider: 'Everything loves something', using 'Lxy' for 'x loves y':  $(x)(\exists y)Lxy$ Note the different quantifier letters: overlapping quantifiers must use different variables. Also, the order of quantifiers matters ' $(\exists x)(y)Lxy'$  means that something loves everything, which is different.

Here are some more complex examples:

```
1. Something taught Plato. (Txy: x taught y)
              (\exists x)Txp
2. Someone taught Plato.
              (\exists x)(Px \cdot Txp)
3. Plato taught everyone.
              (\mathbf{x})(\mathbf{P}\mathbf{x} \supset \mathbf{T}\mathbf{p}\mathbf{x})
4. Everyone knows something. (Kxy: x knows y)
              (\mathbf{x})[\mathbf{P}\mathbf{x} \supset (\exists \mathbf{y})\mathbf{K}\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y}]
5. Everyone is wiser than someone. (Wxy: x is wiser than y)
              (\mathbf{x})[\mathbf{P}\mathbf{x} \supset (\exists \mathbf{y})(\mathbf{P}\mathbf{y} \cdot \mathbf{W}\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y})]
6. Someone is wiser than everyone.
              (\exists x)[Px \cdot (y)(Py \supset Wxy)]
7. Some financier is richer than everyone. (Fx, Rxy: x is richer than y)
              (\exists x)[Fx \cdot (y)(Py \supset Rxy)]
8. No deity is weaker than some human. (Dx, Hx, Wxy: x is weaker than y)
               \sim (\exists x) [Dx \cdot (\exists y) (Hy \cdot Wxy)]
                                                                                                       (\mathbf{x})[\mathbf{D}\mathbf{x} \supset (\mathbf{y})(\mathbf{H}\mathbf{y} \supset \sim \mathbf{W}\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y})]
                                                                                       or
9. Honest candidates are always defeated by dishonest candidates. (Hx, Cx, Dxy: x defeats y)
              (\mathbf{x})\{(\mathbf{C}\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{H}\mathbf{x}) \supset (\exists \mathbf{y})[(\mathbf{C}\mathbf{y} \cdot \mathbf{v}\mathbf{H}\mathbf{x}) \cdot \mathbf{D}\mathbf{y}\mathbf{x}]\}
10. No mouse is mightier than himself. (Mx, Mxy: x is mightier than y)
              (\mathbf{x})(\mathbf{M}\mathbf{x} \supset \sim \mathbf{M}\mathbf{x}\mathbf{x})
11. Everyone buys something from some store. (Px, Sx, Bxyz: x buys y from z)
              (\mathbf{x})[\mathbf{P}\mathbf{x} \supset (\exists \mathbf{y})(\exists \mathbf{z})(\mathbf{S}\mathbf{z} \cdot \mathbf{B}\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y}\mathbf{z})]
12. There is a store from which everyone buys something.
              (\exists x) \{ Sx \cdot (y) [ Py \supset (\exists z) Byzx ] \}
13. No store has everyone for a customer.
              \sim (\exists x) \{ Sx \cdot (y) [Py \supset (\exists z) Byzx] \}
                                                                                       or
                                                                                                      (\mathbf{x})\{\mathbf{S}\mathbf{x} \supset (\exists \mathbf{y})[\mathbf{P}\mathbf{y} \cdot (\mathbf{z}) \sim \mathbf{B}\mathbf{y}\mathbf{z}\mathbf{x}]\}
```

Philosophy 240: Symbolic Logic, November 19, Prof. Marcus, page 3

## **IV. Solutions**

Answers to Exercises A:

- 1. Ljm
- 2. ~Stn 3. Ipme
- 4. Tcam