



Fodor on Functionalism

EMILY HULL

Deficiencies in Other Theories

- Dualism
 - Failure to account for mental causation
 - Immaterial substance cannot cause physical events
 - If mental processes were a different substance than physical processes, then we wouldn't have a reason to believe it exist

Deficiencies (cont.)

■ Behaviorism

- Solves problem of interaction between mental and physical (eliminates immaterial factor), but fails to account for mental processes
- Ignores causation
 - Embedded in our language and understanding of ourselves and the world around us
 - We act based on how we believe the world works
 - May not act on feelings or beliefs, yet those still exist
- More elaborate technology developed to explain internal neurological processes

Deficiencies (cont.)

■ Logical Behaviorism

- Mental is the same thing as saying an organism is disposed to behave a certain way
 - Fragility of glass
 - Manifestation of a disposition
- Allows for mental processes to be explained in physical terms
- However, “treats manifestation of a disposition as the sole form of mental causation” → problem of interaction
 - Event- event causation
 - Disposition for taking aspirin for a headache only if there is a belief that you’re in pain AND you have a desire to get rid of that pain

Deficiencies (cont.)

- Central-Identity Theory
 - Mental events, states and processes are identical with neurophysiological events in the brain
 - Chain of mental causes possible in this theory
 - Mental processes have causal properties of neurophysiological processes
 - Type and Token Physicalism
 - Problem of Multiple Realization

Deficiencies (cont.)

- Multiple Realization
 - The same mental states/processes can exist in different types of minds
 - Three types of lefty brains
 - Two people seeing two different colors, but both calling it “red” and having certain feelings that accompany the perception
 - Subjective – we can’t know objective truth of objects
 - This is not possible under identity theory

Functionalism


- Identifies mental states with relevant properties of that state, rather than identical neurological processes
 - Someone is in pain if they have been affected by certain inputs that would make you feel pain, and may produce a certain range of causally related behavior
 - More towards abstract explanation of the mind (programs, processes) as opposed to physical one
- Tries to solve causal problem of logistical behaviorism and relational problem of identity theory

Software Analogy

- Mind is the software of the brain
 - Same software can be run on different types of hardware
 - Likewise, same mental functions can be performed in different types of 'minds'
 - Similar psychological constitutions in different physical organizations
- Compares computers to minds
 - Computations: causal chain of computer states interpreted from machine code
 - Turing Machine: Input \rightarrow Algorithm \rightarrow Output
 - Mind = Algorithm, rather than the internal workings of a computer



Artificial Intelligence

- Fodor believes functionalism would allow for the possibility for machines, computers and robots to ‘have minds’.
 - Thoughts?
- 

Mind and Machine

- Vending Machine vs. Probabilistic Automaton
 - Deterministic function of vending machines
 - Certain input produces predictable and certain output
 - We know this because we know how a vending machine will work
 - But we aren't vending machines – idea of free will
 - Probabilistic Automaton
 - Variety of possible stimuli/inputs that affect the internal processes of the automaton, so that in each individual situation it is possible that there is a different result, yet overall there is a greater probability of one behavior over another

Problems for Functionalism

■ Qualitative Content

- Supposedly same perception may not be the same for everyone
 - One person's red might be slightly or drastically different from another person's red
 - We don't have reason to believe that we're all the same/have the same software
 - Same functional state, but different mental states

■ Intentional Content

- Chinese Room
 - May know algorithm or rules of language, but not understand it's meaning
 - More to minds than algorithms
 - Difference between us and machines – certain insights



Problems for Functionalism

- **Mental Representation**
 - Problem with functional role determining semantic properties of mental state
 - Resemblance: Difference between thought that John is tall and the fact that John is tall?
 - Mental states not equivalent to physical counterpart
 - Semantic properties of mental representations caused by some aspect of its functional role
- 